Appl. No. 10/675,165 Amdt. Dated 04/30/2007

Reply to Office action of March 28, 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

oplication. No. :

10/675,165

Confirmation No. 5908

Applicant

Ernie F. Brickell

Filed

09/30/2003

TC/A.U.

2135

Examiner

Thanhnga B. Truong

Docket No.

42P16807

Customer No.

8791

Commissioner for Patents

PO Box 1450

Alexandria VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

In the Office Action mailed March 28, 2007, the Examiner contends that the application contains claims directed to two patentably distinct species; namely, Species 1 where it is alleged that claims 1-12 are associated with Figures 7-8 and Species 2 where it is alleged that claims 13-19 are associated with Figure 10. The Examiner requires Applicants to restrict the application to one of the alleged two species if no general claims covering both species are considered allowed.

In compliance with 35 U.S.C. §121, Applicant elects Species 2 (claims 13-19).